## INFORMATION REPORT INFORMATION REPORT

## CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

This material contains information affecting the National Defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, U.S.C. Secs. 793 and 794, the transmission or revelation of which in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

COUNTRY Poland China REPORT  Departure of the M.S. MARIAN BUCZEK DATE DISTR. 5 April 1955 for China NO. OF PAGES 1  DATE OF INFO.  PLACE ACQUIRED  DATE ACQUIRED  This is UNEVALUATED Information  SOURCE EVALUATIONS ARE DEFINITIVE. APPRAISAL OF CONTENT IS TENTATIVE.  1. The M.S. MARIAN BUCZEK, to China without calling at Gdynia first.  2. It was planned that the ship would sail on 24 December 1954. The loading. (8,200 tons of steel plate) was to start on 20 December 1954.  3. It was expected that the ship would be held up for a day or so, due to instructions concerning the overhaul of degaussing equipment, which was not envisaged in the original contract. Sarnecki, director in charge of operations, Ministry of Shipping, was however, adamant about this being done prior to the ship!s sailing.	Departure of the M.S. MARIAN BUCZEK DATE DISTR. 5 April 1955 for China  NO. OF PAGES 1  PLACE ACQUIRED  DATE ACQUIRED  DATE ACQUIRED  DATE ACQUIRED  This is UNEVALUATED Information  SOURCE EVALUATIONS ARE DEFINITIVE. APPRAISAL OF CONTENT IS TENTATIVE.  1. The M.S. MARIAN BUCZEK, was to sail to China without calling at Gdynia first.  2. It was planned that the ship would sail on 24 December 1954. The loading. (8,200 tons of steel plate) was to start on 20 December 1954.  3. It was expected that the ship would be held up for a day or so, due to instructions concerning the overhaul of degaussing equipment, which was not envisaged in the original contract. Sarnecki, director in charge of operations, Ministry of Shipping, was, however, adamant about this being	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		NOFORN/NO DIS	SEM_ABROAD/IJMI	ED/RACKGPOUND UGE	TIMIT V
PLACE ACQUIRED  This is UNEVALUATED Information  SOURCE EVALUATIONS ARE DEFINITIVE. APPRAISAL OF CONTENT IS TENTATIVE.  1. The M.S. MARIAN BUCZEK, to China without calling at Gdynia first.  2. It was planned that the ship would sail on 24 December 1954. The loading. (8,200 tons of steel plate) was to start on 20 December 1954.  3. It was expected that the ship would be held up for a day or so, due to instructions concerning the overhaul of degaussing equipment, which was not envisaged in the original contract. Sarnecki, director in charge of operations, Ministry of Shipping, was, however, adament about this being	PLACE ACQUIRED  This is UNEVALUATED Information  SOURCE EVALUATIONS ARE DEFINITIVE. APPRAISAL OF CONTENT IS TENTATIVE.  1. The M.S. MARIAN BUCZEK, to China without calling at Gdynia first.  2. It was planned that the ship would sail on 24 December 1954. The loading. (8,200 tons of steel plate) was to start on 20 December 1954.  3. It was expected that the ship would be held up for a day or so, due to instructions concerning the overhaul of degaussing equipment, which was not envisaged in the original contract. Sarnecki, director in charge of operations, Ministry of Shipping, was, however, adamant about this being	OUNTRY	Poland	Chine	а.	REPORT	
REFERENCES  This is UNEVALUATED Information  SOURCE EVALUATIONS ARE DEFINITIVE. APPRAISAL OF CONTENT IS TENTATIVE.  1. The M.S. MARIAN BUCZEK, to China without calling at Gdynia first.  2. It was planned that the ship would sail on 24 December 1954. The loading. (8,200 tons of steel plate) was to start on 20 December 1954.  3. It was expected that the ship would be held up for a day or so, due to instructions concerning the overhaul of degaussing equipment, which was not envisaged in the original contract. Sarnecki, director in charge of operations, Ministry of Shipping, was, however, adament about this being	REFERENCES  This is UNEVALUATED Information  SOURCE EVALUATIONS ARE DEFINITIVE. APPRAISAL OF CONTENT IS TENTATIVE.  1. The M.S. MARIAN BUCZEK, to China without calling at Gdynia first.  2. It was planned that the ship would sail on 24 December 1954. The loading. (8,200 tons of steel plate) was to start on 20 December 1954.  3. It was expected that the ship would be held up for a day or so, due to instructions concerning the overhaul of degaussing equipment, which was not envisaged in the original contract. Sarnecki, director in charge of operations, Ministry of Shipping, was however, adamant about this being	UBJECT			5. MARIAN BUCZEK	•	
This is UNEVALUATED Information  1. The M.S. MARIAN BUCZEK, to China without calling at Gdynia first.  2. It was planned that the ship would sail on 24 December 1954. The loading. (8,200 tons of steel plate) was to start on 20 December 1954.  3. It was expected that the ship would be held up for a day or so, due to instructions concerning the overhaul of degaussing equipment, which was not envisaged in the original contract. Sarnecki, director in charge of operations, Ministry of Shipping, was, however, adamant about this being	This is UNEVALUATED Information  1. The M.S. MARIAN BUCZEK, to China without calling at Gdynia first.  2. It was planned that the ship would sail on 24 December 1954. The loading. (8,200 tons of steel plate) was to start on 20 December 1954.  3. It was expected that the ship would be held up for a day or so, due to instructions concerning the overhaul of degauesing equipment, which was not envisaged in the original contract. Sarnecki, director in charge of operations, Ministry of Shipping, was however, adamant about this being	DATE OF INFO.				REQUIREMENT NO.	RD
1. The M.S. MARIAN BUCZEK, to China without calling at Gdynia first.  2. It was planned that the ship would sail on 24 December 1954. The loading. (8,200 tons of steel plate) was to start on 20 December 1954.  3. It was expected that the ship would be held up for a day or so, due to instructions concerning the overhaul of degaussing equipment, which was not envisaged in the original contract. Sarnecki, director in charge of operations, Ministry of Shipping, was, however, adamant about this being	1. The M.S. MARIAN BUCZEK, to China without calling at Gdynia first.  2. It was planned that the ship would sail on 24 December 1954. The loading. (8,200 tons of steel plate) was to start on 20 December 1954.  3. It was expected that the ship would be held up for a day or so, due to instructions concerning the overhaul of degauesing equipment, which was not envisaged in the original contract. Sarnecki, director in charge of operations, Ministry of Shipping, was however, adamant about this being	LACE ACQUIRED			,	REFERENCES	
<ol> <li>The M.S. MARIAN BUCZEK.         to China without calling at Gdynia first.</li> <li>It was planned that the ship would sail on 24 December 1954. The loading. (8,200 tons of steel plate) was to start on 20 December 1954.</li> <li>It was expected that the ship would be held up for a day or so, due to instructions concerning the overhaul of degaussing equipment, which was not envisaged in the original contract. Sarnecki, director in charge of operations, Ministry of Shipping, was however, adamant about this being</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>The M.S. MARIAN BUCZEK, to China without calling at Gdynia first.</li> <li>It was planned that the ship would sail on 24 December 1954. The loading. (8,200 tons of steel plate) was to start on 20 December 1954.</li> <li>It was expected that the ship would be held up for a day or so, due to instructions concerning the overhaul of degaussing equipment, which was not envisaged in the original contract. Sarnecki, director in charge of operations, Ministry of Shipping, was, however, adamant about this being</li> </ol>	ATE ACQUIRED			This is U	NEVALUATED Info	rmation
to China without calling at Gdynia first.  2. It was planned that the ship would sail on 24 December 1954. The loading. (8,200 tons of steel plate) was to start on 20 December 1954.  3. It was expected that the ship would be held up for a day or so, due to instructions concerning the overhaul of degaussing equipment, which was not envisaged in the original contract. Sarnecki, director in charge of operations, Ministry of Shipping, was however, adamant about this being	to China without calling at Gdynia first.  2. It was planned that the ship would sail on 24 December 1954. The loading. (8,200 tons of steel plate) was to start on 20 December 1954.  3. It was expected that the ship would be held up for a day or so, due to instructions concerning the overhaul of degaussing equipment, which was not envisaged in the original contract. Sarnecki, director in charge of operations, Ministry of Shipping, was, however, adamant about this being		SOURCE	EVALUATIONS ARE	DEFINITIVE. APPRAI	SAL OF CONTENT IS TE	NTATIVE.
instructions concerning the overhaul of degaussing equipment, which was not envisaged in the original contract. Sarnecki, director in charge of operations, Ministry of Shipping, was, however, adamant about this being	instructions concerning the overhaul of degaussing equipment, which was not envisaged in the original contract. Sarnecki, director in charge of operations, Ministry of Shipping, was however, adamant about this being	(8,2	00 tons	of steel plat	e) was to start	on 20 December 19	54.
		inst not oper	ructions envisage ations,	concerning t d in the orig Ministry of S	he overhaul of d inal contract. hipping, was. ho	iegaussing equipme Sarnecki, directo	nt, which was r in charge of

25**X**1

S-E-C-R-E-T NOFORN/NO DISSEM ABROAD/LIMITED/BACKGROUND USE ONLY

STATE	v	ARMY	х	NAVY	х	AIR	x	FBI	ŀ	AEC			
(Note: Washington distribution indicated by "X"; Field distribution by "#											, "#".)		
1											 	 	